

The analysis of the intrasurgical view of the obstetric brachial plexus palsy

Jerzy Gosk¹, Roman Rutowski¹, Jerzy Rabczyński²

¹Department of Trauma and Hand Surgery, Medical University of Wrocław, Poland; ²Department of Pathological Anatomy, Medical University of Wrocław, Poland

Folia Neuropathol 2005; 43 (3): 143-147

Abstract

The surgical intervention is necessary in about 20% of all cases of the perinatal brachial plexus palsy. In this study the intrasurgical view and the applied microsurgical techniques were analysed. The clinical material consisted of 49 children with the obstetric brachial plexus palsy treated operatively, on which the following changes were found intrasurgically: compression of the brachial plexus in 21 cases and injuries with discontinuity of the elements of the brachial plexus in 28 cases. The following surgical procedures were performed: neurolysis – 31 cases, direct neurorrhaphy – 7 cases, 2 – 4 sural nerve grafting from 2 to 3 cm – 4 cases, extraanatomical extraplexual reconstruction – 6 cases, extraanatomical intraplexual reconstruction – 1 case. In all cases the intrasurgical view motivated the operative intervention. The most important problem in treatment of the obstetric brachial plexus palsy is a separate group of children who need a surgical intervention.

Key words: obstetric brachial plexus palsy, microsurgical reconstruction, neurolysis, spinal nerve roots avulsion, spontaneous reinnervation, sural nerve grafting, synkinesis

Introduction

The obstetric brachial plexus palsy occurs with the frequency from 0.35% to 5% [7,13,22]. In about 80% of cases the spontaneous, progressive recovery of the upper extremity function is observed due to rehabilitation, whereas in others the surgical intervention is necessary [6, 8, 10, 16]. Indications to the surgical treatment are established based on a detailed clinical examination and results of electromyography, myelography, myelo – KT and myelo – MR [12,20]. The decision about the character of the treatment should be taken about the third month of the child's life, but yet at the very latest to the 6 month [2,9,18,21].

Material and methods

The clinical material consisted of 49 children with the obstetric brachial plexus palsy (28 boys, 21 girls) treated surgically (Department of Trauma and Hand Surgery, Medical University of Wrocław), in the period of 1999-2003. The age of the children at the moment of operation was: to 3 months – 11 cases, from 3 to 6 months – 16 cases, from 6 to 12 months – 8 cases, more than 12 months – 14 cases. The

Communicating author:

Jerzy Gosk, Department of Trauma and Hand Surgery Medical University of Wrocław, ul. R. Traugutta 57/59, 50-417 Wrocław, Poland, tel. +48 71 370 02 12, fax +48 71 344 25 29, e-mail: chiruraz@churaz.am.wroc.pl

Table	١.	The	character	of	the	injuries	with
discor	itin	uity o	of the bracl	hial	plex	us	

Character of the injury	Number of cases
rupture of the spinal nerve ventral branches or trunks of the brachial plexus	21
spinal nerve roots avulsion	4
mixed injuries (rupture + avulsion)	3

intrasurgical view and the applied microsurgical techniques were analysed.

Results

Intrasurgically, we found: A – compression of the brachial plexus due to proliferation of the fibrous tissue (with continuity of the elements of the brachial plexus) – 21 cases, B – injuries with discontinuity of the elements of the brachial plexus – 28 cases. The character of the injuries with the discontinuity of the brachial plexus is shown in table I. Localization of the avulsion lesions is presented in table III. The following surgical procedures were carried out during treatment: neurolysis – 31 cases, reconstruction (direct neuror-rhaphy) – 7 cases, reconstruction (2-4 sural nerve grafting from 2 to 3 cm) – 4 cases, extranatomical extraplexual reconstruction – 1 case.

Discussion

In all cases the intrasurgical view motivated the operative intervention. The compression of the brachial plexus due to proliferation of the fibrous tissue was observed in 21 cases – fig. 1a, b. The neurolysis allowed to accelerate the regeneration

Table II. Localization	of the	rupture	injuries
------------------------	--------	---------	----------



Fig. 1a. Intrasurgical view: compression of the brachial plexus due to extensive perineural fibrous tissue proliferation



Fig. 1b. Histopathological specimen result – fibrous tissue proliferation, necrotic degenerated muscles and chronic inflammation. Stain. HE. Magnification x 160

Localization of injury	General number of cases	Spontaneous reinervation – number of cases	With discontinuity – number of cases
superior trunk rupture (C5-C6)	12	8	4
superior and medial trunk rupture (C5-C6-C7)	7	1	6
superior (C5-C6) and inferior (C8-Th1) trunk rupture	1	1 (inferior trunk)	1 (superior trunk)
inferior trunk rupture (C8-Th1)	1	1	—

Localization of injury	General number of cases	Coexistent injuries	
avulsion C5-C6-C7	2	-	
avulsion C6-C7-C8	1	rupture C5	
avulsion C6	1	rupture C5, C7	
avulsion C7	1	-	
avulsion C7	1	rupture C5, C6	
avulsion C5-C6-C7-C8	1	_	

Table III. Localization	of the	avulsion	lesions
-------------------------	--------	----------	---------



Fig. 2a. Intrasurgical view: rupture of the superior trunk of the brachial plexus requiring microsurgical reconstruction

process and the prognosis in this type of lesions is good [4,17]. Injuries with discontinuity of the elements of the brachial plexus require microsurgical reconstruction in the first months of the child's life [4,16,18,21]. In this group, the age of the treated surgically children varies from 2 to 6 months, and only in two cases the operations were delayed (9 months, 3 years). In cases of brachial plexus trunks ruptures the continuity of the neural elements was reconstructed with direct neurorrhaphy or sural nerve grafting [4] – fig. 2a, b. The particular kind of lesion is rupture of the trunk with secondary, spontaneous reinnervation. Usually, this process has a correct direction. However, in some cases the course of the reinervation may be chaotic. The secondary reinervation of the antagonistic groups of muscles from the one source is in danger and can lead to synkinesis (co-contractions) [1,3]. In our own material we observed 11 cases of spontaneous recovery after rupture of the neural trunks. The most frequent localization was the superior trunk - fig. 3. The



Fig. 2b. Intrasurgical view: status after microsurgical reconstruction of the superior trunk



Fig. 3. Intrasurgical view: status after rupture of the superior trunk with secondary, spontaneous recovery

surgical treatment consisted in delicate neurolysis and then in an assessment of the conductivity after intrasurgical electrical stimulation. The correct motor



Fig. 4a. Intrasurgical view: spinal nerve roots avulsion (C5 – Th1) of the brachial plexus



Fig. 4b. Intrasurgical view: status after extranatomical extraplexual microsurgical reconstruction

reaction in the muscles confirmed the rightness of the used surgical procedure. The preganglionic injuries like spinal nerve roots avulsion are a difficult diagnostic and therapeutical problem – fig. 4 a, b. The avulsed spinal nerve may be pulled out from the intervertebral foramen or stay intraforaminally [5,15,19]. In the first situation, ventral (motor) and dorsal (sensory) roots of the spinal nerve could be identified, which allowed to perform a selective neurotisation [14]. In the second situation, the decision about resection of the remaining intraforaminally, most probably avulsed, spinal nerve or leaving it should be taken. This kind of decision ought to be taken based on the preoperative clinical examination and electromyography, imaging diagnostics (the possibility of false - positive findings), intrasurgical exams (electrical stimulation, SEP) and also evaluation of the tension of neural elements [11].

The preganglionic lesions have a significantly worse prognosis, but they are rather rare. In our own material their frequency was 14.3% (7 from 49 cases) and they include avulsion from 1 to 4 spinal nerve mainly C5, C6, C7. High percentage of these spinal nerves avulsion was observed in the other authors' materials [5,19]. Reconstructions in the perinatal brachial plexus palsy need experience and master microsurgical techniques. They are performed under the microscope with the use of the suture material 8–10/0. The most important problem in treatment of the obstetric brachial plexus palsy is a separate group of children who need surgical intervention.

References

- Benaim JL, Jouve JL, Bardot J, Casanova D, Magalon G, Bollini G. Pseudo-paralysis of the brachial biceps in obstetrical brachial plexus lesions (OBPL): concerning the "overly optimistic" EMG in OBPL. Neurophysiol Clin 1999; 29: 490-494.
- 2. Berger AC, Hierner R, Becker MH. Early microsurgical revision of the brachial plexus in traumatic birth injuries. Patient selection in outcome. Orthopade 1997; 26: 710-718.
- Capek L, Clarke HM, Curtis CG. Neuroma-in-continuity resection: early outcome in obstetrical brachial plexus palsy. Plast Reconstr Surg 1998; 102: 1555-1562.
- 4. Duclos L, Gilbert A. Obstetrical palsy: early treatment at secondary procedures. Ann Acad Med Singapore 1995; 24: 841-845.
- Gentjens G, Gilbert A, Helsen K. Obstetric brachial plexus palsy associated with breech delivery. A different pattern of injury. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1996; 78: 303-306.
- 6. Gilbert A. Results of brachial plexus surgery and replacement operations in traumatic brachial plexus birth injury-induced paralysis. Orthopade 1997; 26: 723-728.
- Gilbert WM, Nesbitt TS, Danielsen B. Associated factors in 1611 cases of brachial plexus injury. Obstet Gynecol 1999; 93: 536-540.
- 8. Grossman JA, Ramos LE, Tidwell M, Price A, Papazian O, Alfonso I. Surgical treatment of children with brachial plexus paralysis. Rev Neurol 1998; 27: 271-273.
- 9. Grossman JA. Early operative intervention for birth injuries to the brachial plexus. Semin Pediatr Neurol 2000; 7: 36-43.
- Kuś H, Rutowski R. Advances in the treatment of traction injuries of the brachial plexus in the last 15 years. Adv Surg Oncol Monduzzi Editore S. p. A, Bologna 1996; pp. 133-138.
- Kuś H, Martosz M, Rutowski R, Miśkiewicz P, Klempous J, Michałowicz R, Ignatowicz R. Okołoporodowe porażenie splotu ramiennego, własne doświadczenie. Chir Narz Ruchu Ortop Pol 1997; suppl. 1: 75.
- 12. Papazian O, Alfonso I, Grossman JA. Neurophysiological assessment of children with obstetric brachial plexus palsy. Rev Neurol 1998; 27: 263-270.
- 13. Pollack RN, Buchman AS, Yaffe H, Divon MY. Obstetrical brachial palsy: pathogenesis, risk factors and prevention. Clin Obstet Gynaecol 2000; 43: 236-246.
- Rutowski R. Neurotyzacja selektywna motoryczna i sensoryczna – w przedzwojowych uszkodzeniach splotu ramiennego. Pol Hand Surg 1993; supl. 1: 47-48.

- 15. Rutowski R. Neurotizations by means of the cervical plexus in over 100 patients with from one to five root avulsions of the brachial plexus. Microsurgery 1993; 14: 285-288.
- Slooff AC. Obstetric brachial plexus lesions and their neurosurgical treatment. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 1993; 95 (Suppl): \$73-77.
- 17. Sundholm LK, Eliasson AC, Forssberg H. Obstetric brachial plexus injuries: assessment protocol and functional outcome at age 5 years. Dev Med Child Neurol 1998; 40: 4-11.
- 18. Terzis JK, Papakonstantinou KC. Management of obstetric brachial plexus palsy. Hand Clin 1999; 15: 717-736.
- Ubachs JM, Slooff AC, Peeters LL. Obstetric antecedents of surgically treated obstetric brachial plexus injuries. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1995; 102: 813-817.
- 20. Walker AT, Chaloupka JC, de Lotbiniere AC, Wolfe SW, Goldman R, Kier EL. Detection of nerve rootlet avulsion on CT myelography in patients with birth palsy and brachial plexus injury after trauma. AJM Am J Roentgenol 1996; 167: 1283-1287.
- 21. Waters PM. Comparison of the natural history, the outcome of microsurgical repair, and the outcome of operative reconstruction in brachial plexus birth palsy. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1999; 81: 649-659.
- 22. Wolf H, Hoeksma AF, Oei SL, Bleker OP. Obstetric brachial plexus injury: risk factors related to recovery. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2000; 88: 133-138.